Categorical Exclusion Documentation Format for Actions Other Than Hazardous Fuels and Fire Rehabilitation Actions

Project Name: NEPA Number DOI-BLM-AZ-C010-2011-0041-CX

BLM Office: Kingman Field Office Lease/Serial/Case File No.: _____

A. Background Grazing authorization will be transferred from Desert

Communities to Cane Springs Cattle Company, LLC.

James Rhodes owns both companies.

Proposed Action Title/Type: Allotment transfer from Desert Communities to Cane

Springs Cattle Company, LLC

Location of Proposed Action: T25,26,27N, R16,17,18W

Description of Proposed Action: The BLM-KFO will authorize the Cane Springs allotment

grazing transfer. This transfer of grazing privileges will be

with the same terms and conditions found within the

current permit.

B. Land Use Plan Conformance

Land Use Plan Name: Kingman Resource Management Plan/EIS

Date Approved/Amended: March 1995

The proposed action is in conformance with the applicable LUP because it is specifically provided for in the following LUP decision(s):

GM01 Management of rangeland resources will be guided by the Cerbat/Black

Mountains (1978) and Hualapai Aquarius (1981) grazing environmental impact statements and range program summaries (RMP, page 24). The objectives for the rangeland management program are listed in the Cerbat/Black Mountains (1978) and Hualapai Aquarius (1981) grazing

environmental impact statements (RMP, Page 39).

GM-24/II Manage 25 allotments in the Improve (I) category (RPS 1983); In the RMP

page 462 24 allotments are listed in the I category.

C. Compliance with NEPA

This Proposed Action is categorically excluded from further documentation under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in accordance with 516 DM 11.9, D. Rangeland Management (1) Approval or transfers of grazing preference.

This categorical exclusion is appropriate in this situation because there are no extraordinary circumstances potentially having effects that may significantly affect the environment. The

proposed action has been reviewed (See Attachment 1), and none of the extraordinary circumstances described in 516 DM 2 apply.

I considered the plan conformance and NEPA compliance record and have determined that the proposed project is in conformance with the approved land use plan, and none of the exceptions described in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2 apply, and no further environmental analysis is required.

,	
Name:/ s / Don McClure_	
Title: Assistant Field Manager	_

E. Contact Person

D: Authorizing Official

For additional information concerning this CX review, contact Abe Clark, Rangeland Management Specialist (928-718-3755) at the Kingman Field Office located at 2755 Mission Blvd, Kingman, Arizona, 86401.

Attachment 1: Extraordinary Circumstances Review

Extraordinary Circumstances	Comment (Yes or No with supporting Rationale)
1. Have significant effects on public health or safety.	No No
Have significant effects on public health of safety. Have significant impacts on such natural	No, the grazing transfer is a name change only, with
resources and unique geographic characteristics as	the same terms and conditions found within the
historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or	current permit. The grazing season for the allotment
refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers;	will remain the same.
national natural landmarks; sole or principal	will remain the same.
drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands	
(Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive	
Order 11988) national monuments; migratory birds;	
and other ecologically significant or critical areas.	
3. Have highly controversial environmental effects	No
or involve unresolved conflicts concerning	110
alternative uses of available resources [NEPA	
Section 102(2)(E)].	
4. Have highly uncertain and potentially significant	No
environmental effects or involve unique or unknown	110
environmental risks.	
5. Establishes a precedent for future action or	No
represents a decision in principle about future	110
actions with significant environmental effects.	
6. Have a direct relationship to other actions with	No
individually insignificant but cumulatively	110
significant environmental effects.	
7. Have significant impacts on properties listed, or	No
eligible for listing, on the National Register of	110
Historic Places as determined by either the bureau or	
office.	
8. Have significant impacts on species listed, or	No
proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or	
Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on	
designated Critical Habitat for these species.	
9. Violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal	No
law or requirement imposed for the protection of the	
environment.	
10. Have a disproportionately high and adverse	No
effect on low income or minority populations	
(Executive Order 12898).	
11. Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian	No
sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious	
practitioners or significantly adversely affect the	
physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive	
Order 13007).	
12. Contribute to the introduction, continued	No
existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native	
invasive species known to occur in the area or	
actions that may promote the introduction, growth,	
or expansion of the range of such species (Federal	
Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order	
13112).	

Approval and Decision Attachment 2

/ s / Abe Clark	nt of responsibility: (Range Program, Abe Clark) at of responsibility: (Range Program, Abe Clark)		
criteria and that it w	letermined that the proposal is in accordance with vould not involve any significant environmental effected from further environmental review.		
Prepared by:	/ s / Abe Clark	Date:	7/27/2011
	Abe Clark, Rangeland Mgt. Specialist Project Lead		
Reviewed by:		Date:	_7/27/2011
	David Brock NEPA Coordinator		
Reviewed by:	/ s / Don McClure	Date:	_7/27/2011
	Don McClure, Assistant Field Manager Supervisor		
-	BLM-KFO will authorize the Cane Springs allotme the same terms and conditions found within the cu		
etermined that the project is in	of the project described above and field office standard conformance with the land use plan and is category decision to approve the action as proposed, with	rically ex	cluded from further
Approved By:/s/Don		7/27/2011	1
	Don McClure, Assistant Field Manager, Kingman Field Office		

1) **Exhibits: Stipulations:** This grazing transfer will contain the same terms and conditions as the current permit and the grazing season will remain the same.